Network on Sunday Afternoons, since October, 1987:—

(1) Uppu

(2) Thinkalazhcha Nalla Divasam

(3) Kanamarayathu

(4) Chidambaram

Samandaram' and 'Irakal' were kept as standby films.

(b) The following films from the selected list could not be telecast for the reasons shown against each:—

(1) Uppu—The Producer requested for postponment of its telecast.

(2) Samandaram—The Regional Film Selection Committee, attached to Doordarshan Kendra Trivandrum, did not approve it for National Telecast.

(3) Irakal—The Regional Film Selection Committee, at DDK, Trivandrum, recommended it to be considered for late-night telecast, instead of for Sunday afternoons. The dates of telecast of other 3 films had also to be changed owing to live relays of some important national|international events|sports fixtures, carrying immense popular appeal.

(c) It is not possible to give exact number of Malayalam films likely to be telecast in 1988, because it depends on a number of variables including the availability of eligible films in all regional languages.

Representation against non-implementation of industrial Tribunal Award by the BCCL

3190. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Bihar Colliery Kamgar Union, Dhanbad has represented to Government regarding non-implementation of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal award by the BCCL;

(b) if so, whether any action has been taken against the BCCL;

(c) if so, what is the nature thereof;

(d) if not, what are the reasons therefor; and

(e) what steps have been taken or are proposed to be taken by Government to implement the award?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER): (a) to (e) In a representation dated 20th December, 1987, the Bihar Colliery Kamgar Union had drawn the attention of Government to the case of non-implementation of an award given by the Central Government Industrial Tribunal in a dispute involving the management of Akash Kinari Colliery of the BCCL and its workman, Shri Shiv Shanker Singh, whose services had been dispensed with. On a reference received from the 'Department of Coal, the Ministry of Labour has examined the facts of the case and advised the management to implement the Award.

Non-Implementation of the Industrial Tribunals Award by BCCL

3191. SHRI SAMAR MUKHERJEE: Will the Minister of LABOUR be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that the BCCL is not implementing the award in reference to case No. 34/83 passed by the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Dhanbad;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof;

(c) what steps have been taken by Government to ensure that the BCCL implement the said award; and

(d) if no steps have been taken so far, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR (SHRI JAGDISH TYTLER): (a) to (d) The attention of the Government has been drawn to a case of non-implementation of an

award given by the Central Government Industrial Tribunal in a dispute involving the management of Akash Kinari Colliery of the BCCL and its workman, Shri Shiv Shankar Singh, Whose services had been dispensed with. On a reference received from the Department of Coal, the Ministry of Labour has examined the facts of the case and advised the management to implement the Award.

Advertisements to newspapers owned by Political Parties

3192. SHRI NARAYAN KAR: Will the Minister of INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's advertisements are not given to the News Papers owned by Political Parties; and

(b) if so, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING (SHRI H. K. L. BHAGAT): (a) and (b) The Government advertisements are released to newspapers irrespective of their political affiliations or ownership or editorial policies on a fair and non-discriminatory basis and in accordance with the provisions contained in the Advertising Policy of the Government.

Amount for Kerala Towns under the IDSMT scheme

3193. SHRI M. A. BABY: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) what amount was allocated under the scheme of Integrated Development of Small and Medium Towns (IDSMT) for 1985-86, 1986-87, 1987-88, State-wise;

(b) what amount was allocated to the towns in Kerala under the scheme town-wise; and

(c) what are the names of other towns in Kerala under consideration for coverage under the scheme?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI DALBIR SINGH): (a) Details of funds released to State during 1985 to 1988 (upto 14-3-88) are indicated in the Statement-I (*See* below).

(b) Details of funds released for various towns in Kerala during the 7th Plan under the Scheme of IDSMT is given in the Statement-II (*See* below).

(c) Against an allocation of three towns for Kerala during 7th Plan, all the three towns have already been sanctioned.

Statement-I

Funds released under IDSMT during seventh Five Year Plan (As on 14-3-1988)

(Rs. in lakhs)

Sl. No.	State/U.T.	85-86	86-87	87-88 (Upto 14-3-88)
1	2	3	4	5
1	Andhra Pradesh	117.00	123.50	27.70
2	Assam	20.00	..	10.00
3	Bihar	95.65	108.00	102 25
4	Gujarat	45.61	45 24	71.00
5	Haryana	5.00	35 00	.
6	Himachal Pradesh	..	..	1.70